

United States Patent and Trademark Office



MA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.umoto.eow

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/827,698	04/06/2001	Jeffrey Fischer	P00043601X	5065		
27689 7	590 05/09/2003					
JOHN C. SM		EXAMINER				
SUITE A-207	FEDERAL HIGHWAY		CARTER, MONICA SMITH			
BOCA RATO	N, FL 33431		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-	
,			3722			
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003	12		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠١	· 10				_		TAA	
			Application	No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			09/827,698	,	7	FISCHER, JEFFREY		
			Examiner			Art Unit		
			Monica S. 0	Carter		3722		
	The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication app	pears on th	cover	sheet with the co	orrespond nce ad	idress	
Period fo								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU isions of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.1 mmunication. y (30) days, a repl n statutory period of ply will, by statute as after the mailing	136(a). In no even ly within the statut will apply and will e, cause the applic	t, howev ory minir expire S ation to	er, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this c 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>21 /</u>	February 200	<u>)3</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)□ Th	nis action is r	on-fin	al.			
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the proon of Claims	ion for allowa actice under	ance except Ex parte Qu	for for ayle,	mal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to th 53 O.G. 213.	ne merits is	
	Claim(s) 22-41 is/are pending in	the application	on.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is	* *		sidera	tion.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>22-41</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to							
	Claim(s) are subject to rest	triction and/o	or election re	quiren	nent.			
	The specification is objected to by	the Examine	er.					
·	The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 April 20</u>			r b)□	objected to by th	e Examiner.		
,	Applicant may not request that any		•	•				
11)[The proposed drawing correction f	=	=		<u> </u>	` ,	er.	
ŕ	If approved, corrected drawings are					•		
12) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for foreigi	n priority und	er 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of	f:						
	1. Certified copies of the priori	ty document	ts have been	recei	ved.			
	2. Certified copies of the priori	ty document	ts have been	recei	ved in Application	on No		
· * §	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interest ee the attached detailed Office ac	ernational Bu	ıreau (PCT F	ule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage	
	cknowledgment is made of a clain						l application).	
_a	The translation of the foreign	anguage pro	ovisional app	licatio	n has been rece	eived.	- Ethiopiani,	
Attachmen			p. 101111, Will	,	3.4.4.33 120			
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		;) [(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT		
S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		Office Ad	ction Summary			Part of Paper No. 1	2	

Application/Control Number: 09/827,698

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the semaphore being incorporated into a garment or ornament must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pasfield (5,026,084).

Pasfield discloses a color-coded identification system comprising first and second semaphore sets having semaphores (1', 2', 3' – plurality of flags) for use by first and second individuals, the semaphore providing information to first and second individuals, means (1, 2, 3 - bands) to display the first and second semaphore sets;

Art Unit: 3722

whereby both individuals can signal care alerts to each other via the semaphores and, then, quickly interpret the semaphores to understand the alerts.

Regarding the semaphore indicating a social interest, personality characteristic, or social trait of the first individual or a particular social interest, personality characteristic, or social trait that the first individual desires in a second individual, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In this case, Pasfield is capable of performing the intended use as the parameters for the colors (red, green, orange, etc.) are established by an individual to be universally recognized (see col. 2, lines 52-58).

Regarding claims 25 and 29, the examiner considers the indicia to be the color used as the semaphore which would indicate that the band upon which it is placed is used as a semaphore.

Regarding claims 26 and 30, Pasfield disclosing using pre-selected colors as semaphores (see col. 2, lines 30-32 and 49-51).

Regarding claims 27 and 28, Pasfield discloses the flags being placed at any desired location (see col. 2, lines 33-35 – inherently, this includes a garment or ornament).

Art Unit: 3722

Regarding claim 31, see the above rejections to claims 26 and 30. Pasfield discloses the claimed invention except for the claimed indications for each color (yellow, red, green, blue, purple, pale blue, gray, white, orange and black). A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In this case, Pasfield is capable of performing the intended use since the individual would determine the parameters for each color (as seen in figure 3).

Regarding claims 32-41, the method of using the semaphores for communicating information is, inherently, set forth in the above rejections.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/827,698

Art Unit: 3722

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (703) 305-0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 AM - 5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3722

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

May 6, 2003

MONICA CARTER ATENT EXAMINER